## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

JORGE GARRICK JONES	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:15cv6
DIRECTOR, TDCJ-CID	8	

## MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT

The Petitioner Jorge Garrick Jones, proceeding *pro se*, filed this application for the writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. §2254 complaining of the legality of his conviction. This Court ordered that the matter be referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

Jones was convicted of engaging in organized criminal activity, receiving a sentence of 20 years in prison. After exhausting his state remedies, he sought federal habeas corpus relief. The Respondent answered Jones' federal habeas corpus petition and Jones filed a reply to the answer.

After review of the pleadings and the state court records, the magistrate judge issued a report recommending that the petition be dismissed. No objections were filed to the report; consequently, the parties are barred from *de novo* review by the district judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this cause and the report of the magistrate judge.

Upon such review, the Court has determined that the report of the magistrate judge is correct. *See* 

<u>United States v. Wilson</u>, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918, 109 S.Ct. 3243 (1989) (where no objections to a magistrate judge's report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law.") It is accordingly

**ORDERED** that the report of the magistrate judge (docket no. 15) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

ORDERED that the above-styled application for the writ of habeas corpus is **DISMISSED**WITH PREJUDICE. It is further

**ORDERED** that the Petitioner Jorge Garrick Jones is **DENIED** a certificate of appealability *sua sponte*. Finally, it is

**ORDERED** that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby **DENIED.** 

SIGNED this 20th day of June, 2016.

Michael Hehrico MICHAEL H. SCHNEIDER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE